

Mr. Amory

Attached are several papers on the NIS - State production story. On top is [ ] answer to your note of the 13th in which he outlines the personnel changes made and in the making and concludes by saying that they probably won't finish the year in much worse shape than they have in the past.

You can relate the personnel adjustments he made to those proposed by his own NIS man by looking at the table on the front page of [ ] memo (attached) of 8 Jan 60. A build up of 19 was suggested for ME whereas he actually put in only 6 and has to date filled only 3 of these. Also, he added to the RS3 where the proposal was to cut it back.

The third paper is [ ] latest report which you asked him for in connection with the DCI seeing

~~You will recall that in FY 59 State delivered 92% of their scheduled sections which total 171 and so we had their funds~~

You will recall that for FY 60 we cut the support to ~~the~~ approx. 92% of that furnished in FY 59 on the basis they delivered only 92% of scheduled production. or some 171 sections. [ ] feels their commitment for FY 60 is 182 sections. They might argue however, that funds were provided for 171. We did add though that production per employee was low at .88 sections per man year. ~~2~~